

IN THE HIGH COURT OF GUJARAT AT AHMEDABAD

CIVIL REVISION APPLICATION No 1809 of 1999

For Approval and Signature:

Hon'ble MR.JUSTICE R.P.DHOLAKIA

- =====
1. Whether Reporters of Local Papers may be allowed : YES
to see the judgements?
 2. To be referred to the Reporter or not? : NO
 3. Whether Their Lordships wish to see the fair copy : NO
of the judgement?
 4. Whether this case involves a substantial question : NO
of law as to the interpretation of the Constitution
of India, 1950 of any Order made thereunder?
 5. Whether it is to be circulated to the Civil Judge? : NO

AMBALAL NATHABHAI PATEL

Versus

BAPALAL AMRUTLAL MODI

Appearance:

MR KV SHELAT for Petitioners

MR UDAYAN P VYAS for Respondent No. 1

CORAM : MR.JUSTICE R.P.DHOLAKIA

Date of decision: 11/09/2000

ORAL JUDGEMENT

Heard Mr. K.V.Shelat, learned advocate for the petitioners and Mr. Udyan. P.Vyas, learned advocate for the respondent. Learned advocate for the petitioner has made a statement at the bar that the present petition has arisen from the interim order passed in H.R.P. Suit No.1112/94 and the learned Small Cause Judge, Court No.7, Ahmedabad, vide order dated 31-7-2000 disposed of the said suit In view of the aforesaid statement made by

learned advocate for the petitioner, this Civil Revision Application which has arisen from the interim order passed in H.R.P. Suit No. 1112/94 does not survive. Hence, this Civil Revision Application stands disposed of accordingly. Rule discharged. In view of the aforesaid facts and circumstances of the case, interim order passed by this Court (Coram : M.S.Shah, J.) on 28-12-99 stands vacated. The parties are at liberty to move this Court in case of any difficulty.

(R.P.Dholakia, J.)

*mithabhai